# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,231	04/23/2001	Takehisa Yamaguchi	54024-030	4039
7590 09/08/2006			EXAMINER	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			VU, NGOC K	
	00 13th Street, N.W.			PAPER NUMBER
· ·			2623	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/839,231	YAMAGUCHI ET	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ngoc K. Vu	2623		
	The MAILING DATE of this communica			ddress	
Period fo	or Reply		•		
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, a cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 , by statute, cause the application to become the company of the	MUNICATION.  may a reply be timely filed  B) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>14 June 2006</i> .			
		This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4-6,8-10 and 40-52</u> is/are pen	ding in the application			
,,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>40-51</u> is/are v	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>l.</b>		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•		
	Claim(s) 4-6,8-10 and 52 is/are rejected	d.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			,	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requiremer	ıt.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
_	The specification is objected to by the E	vaminer			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		ed to by the Examiner		
,	Applicant may not request that any objectio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: 1 ∑ Certified copies of the priority do:	oumanta hava hasa saasissa	•		
	<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority do</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority do</li></ul>				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			l Stago	
	application from the International			ii Stage	
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Attachma-	t(e)				
Attachmen	t(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>4. 11</b> 1.4.	vious Primmer (IDTO 440)		
	æ of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infoπ	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notic	e of Informal Patent Application		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Othe	r:		

Application/Control Number: 09/839,231

Art Unit: 2623

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/14/06 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 52 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that the term "about" in line 5 is vague. Examiner suggests applicant that this term should change to "comprising" or "including" to clarify the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4-6, 8-10 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 52 is indefinite because there is no antecedent basis for the limitation "said display item on said control panel" in 10.

Claim 52 is indefinite because there is no antecedent basis for the limitation "said remote controller" in 16.

Claim 52, in part, recites the limitation "a switching signal transmission element for transmitting a switching signal for said display item on said control panel to said receiver, based on said timing signal" in lines 9-11. The meaning of "for said display item on said control panel to said receiver" is unclear. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2623

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6, 8-10 and 52 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection and rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc K. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-7306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,231 Page 4

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ngoc K. Vu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623

September 5, 2006